By: Montford

SJ.R. No. 10

SENATE
JOINT RESOLUTION

- proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from 2 3 federal courts. BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: SECTION 1. Article V, of the Texas Constitution is amended 5 by adding Section 3-c to read as follows: 6 Sect 3-c. (a) The supreme court has jurisdiction to answer 7 questions of state law certified from a federal court. 8 (b) The supreme court shall promulgate rules of procedure 9 relating to the review of those questions. 10 SECTION 2. The following temporary provision is added to the 11 Texas Constitution: 12 * TEMPORARY PROVISION. (a) This temporary provision applies to the constitutional amendment proposed by the 69th Legislature,
- 13 ** TEMPORARY PROVISION. (a) This temporary provision applies
 14 to the constitutional amendment proposed by the 69th Legislature,
 15 Regular Session, 1985, granting the supreme court jurisdiction to
 16 answer questions of state law certified from a federal court.
- 17 (b) The constitutional amendment takes effect January 1,
 18 1986.
- 19 (c) This temporary provision takes effect on the adoption of the amendment by the voters and expires January 2, 1986.
- SECTION 3. This proposed constitutional amendment shall be submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 5, 1985.

 The ballot shall be printed to provide for voting for or against

24 the proposition: "The constitutional amendment granting the

S. J. R. No. 10

- 1 Supreme Court of Texas jurisdiction to answer questions of state
- 2 law certified from a federal court."

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE

February 4, 1985

Honorable Oscar H. Mauzy, Chairman Committee on Jurisprudence Senate Chamber Austin, Texas

In Re: Senate Joint Resolution No. 10

By: Montford

Sir:

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on Senate Joint Resolution No. 10 (proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from federal courts) this office has determined the following:

The resolution would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the resolution.

The cost of publication to the State of the resolution is \$48,100.

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Director

Secretary of State; Office of Court Administration; LBB Staff: ${\sf JO}$, ${\sf JH}$, ${\sf BL}$ Source:

1 By: Montford (In the Senate - Filed January 22, 1985; January 23, 1985, read first time and referred to Committee on Jurisprudence; February 6, 1985, reported adversely, with favorable Committee Substitute; February 6, 1985, sent to printer.) 2 3 6 COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR S.J.R. No. 10 By: Montford 7 SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 8 proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from 9 10 federal appellate courts. 11 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: SECTION 1. Article V, of the Texas Constitution is amended by adding Section 3-c to read as follows: 12 13 "Section 3-c. (a) The supreme court has jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from a federal appellate 14 15 court. 16 17 The supreme court shall promulgate rules of procedure relating to the review of those questions."

SECTION 2. The following temporary provision is added to the 18 19 20 Texas Constitution: "TEMPORARY PROVISION. (a) This temporary provision applies 21 22 to the constitutional amendment proposed by the 69th Legislature, Regular Session, 1985, granting the supreme court jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from a federal appellate 23 24 court. "(b) 25 The constitutional amendment takes effect January 1, 26 1986. 27 "(c) This temporary provision takes effect on the adoption the amendment by the voters and expires January 2, 1986."

SECTION 3. This proposed constitutional amendment shall be 28 29 30 31 submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 5, 1985. The ballot shall be printed to provide for voting for or against 32 the proposition: "The constitutional amendment granting the 33 Supreme Court of Texas jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from a federal appellate court." 34 35 * * * * * 36 37 Austin, Texas 38 February 6, 1985 39 Hon. William P. Hobby President of the Senate 40 41 Sir: We, your Committee on Jurisprudence to which was referred S.J.R. No. 10, have had the same under consideration, and I am instructed 42 43

47 Mauzy, Chairman

do pass and be printed.

44

45

46

to report it back to the Senate with the recommendation that it do not pass, but that the Committee Substitute adopted in lieu thereof

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE

February 4, 1985

Honorable Oscar H. Mauzy, Chairman Committee on Jurisprudence Senate Chamber Austin, Texas

In Re: Senate Joint Resolution No. 10

By: Montford

Sir:

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on Senate Joint Resolution No. 10 (proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from federal courts) this office has determined the following:

The resolution would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the resolution.

The cost of publication to the State of the resolution is \$48,100.

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Jim Oliver Director

Source: Secretary of State; Office of Court Administration;

LBB Staff: JO, JH, BL

The

Jet. 18 19 85 Engrossed

Alay Spaw
Theorems Clerk

copy of SIR D which was received from the Senate on FEB 1 9 1985

referred to the Committee on Audiciary

Chief Clerkof the House

By: Montford

1

S.J.R. No. 10

2	proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court
3	jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from
4	federal appellate courts.
5	BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
6	SECTION 1. Article V, of the Texas Constitution is amended
7	by adding Section 3-c to read as follows:
8	"Section 3-c. (a) The supreme court has jurisdiction to
9	answer questions of state law certified from a federal appellate
10	court.
11	"(b) The supreme court shall promulgate rules of procedure
12	relating to the review of those questions."
13	SECTION 2. The following temporary provision is added to the
14	Texas Constitution:
15	"TEMPORARY PROVISION. (a) This temporary provision applies
16	to the constitutional amendment proposed by the 69th Legislature,
17	Regular Session, 1985, granting the supreme court jurisdiction to
18	answer questions of state law certified from a federal appellate
19	court.
20	"(b) The constitutional amendment takes effect January 1,
21	1986.
22	"(c) This temporary provision takes effect on the adoption
23	of the amendment by the voters and expires January 2, 1986."
24	SECTION 3. This proposed constitutional amendment shall be
25	submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 5, 1985.

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION

me

S.J.R. No. 10

- 1 The ballot shall be printed to provide for voting for or against
- 2 the proposition: "The constitutional amendment granting the
- 3 Supreme Court of Texas jurisdiction to answer questions of state
- 4 law certified from a federal appellate court."

HOUSE COMMITTEE REPORT RESERVED

1st. Printing

_ (E	Montford • Hill of Dallas) stitute the following for S.J.R. No. 10:			
Ву	Toomey C.S.S.J.R. No. 10			
	A JOINT RESOLUTION			
pro	posing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court and			
the court of criminal appeals jurisdiction to answer questions of				
	ce law certified from federal appellate courts.			
	BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:			
	SECTION 1. Article V, of the Texas Constitution is amended			
by	adding Section 3-c to read as follows:			
	"Section 3-c. (a) The supreme court and the court of			
criminal appeals have jurisdiction to answer questions of state law				
certified from a federal appellate court.				
	"(b) The supreme court and the court of criminal appeals			
shall promulgate rules of procedure relating to the review of those				
questions."				
	SECTION 2. The following temporary provision is added to the			
Texas Constitution:				
	"TEMPORARY PROVISION. (a) This temporary provision applies			
to	the constitutional amendment proposed by the 69th Legislature,			
Regu	lar Session, 1985, granting the supreme court and the court of			
	inal appeals jurisdiction to answer questions of state law			
certified from a federal appellate court.				
	"(b) The constitutional amendment takes effect January 1,			
1986				
	"(c) This temporary provision takes effect on the adoption			

SECTION 3. This proposed constitutional amendment shall be

of the amendment by the voters and expires January 2, 1986."

C.S.S.J.R. No. 10

- submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 5, 1985.
- 2 The ballot shall be printed to provide for voting for or against
- 3 the proposition: "The constitutional amendment granting the
- 4 Supreme Court of Texas and the Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas
- 5 jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from a
- 6 federal appellate court."

COMMITTEE REPORT

The Honorable Gib Lewis Speaker of the House of Representatives April 9 1985

Sir:					
We, your COMMITTEE ON JU and beg to report back with the	JDICIARY, to whom was referred the recommendation that it	S.J.R. (measure	S.J.R. 10 have had the same under consideration (measure)		
() do pass, without amendmen () do pass, with amendmen () do pass and be not printe	nent. t(s). ed; a Complete Committee Substi	tute is recommended in	n lieu of the original measu	ıre.	
A fiscal note was requested.			An actuarial analysis was requested. () yes () no		
An author's fiscal statement wa	as requested. () yes (\(\mathcal{T}\)no				
The Committee recommends th	nat this measure be placed on the	(Local) or (Conser	ग) Calendar.		
This measure (proposes	a constitution	Jamendon	ent		
House Sponsor of Senate Mea	\sim 1 \sim 1.				
The measure was reported from	m Committee by the following vote) :			
	AYE	NAY	PNV	ABSENT	
Bush, Ch.	/			ABOLINI	
Hury, V.C.					
Toomey, C.B.O.	/				
Adkisson					
Dutton					
Hackney					
Hilbert	V				
Perez					
Russell					
			1		
Total aye nay	not voting	CHAIRMAN	14/2D		
absent	not voting	Zau	ua Calfee ECOORDINATOR		

By: Montford

Bill Analysis

Background Information

In the exercise of their diversity jurisdiction, federal district courts must sometimes interpret Texas state law. When a federal district court decides a matter of Texas law on which Texas courts have not yet ruled, the federal court must make an "Erie prediction", in which it rules on the basis of the way it believes Texas state courts will decide when the matter is presented to them. Such rulings have no precedential affect, and the issue is truly resolved only when a Texas court rules on the matter. Federal appellate courts have appellate jurisdiction over federal district courts, including federal district courts sitting in diversity jurisdiction.

In state law matters on which Texas courts have not ruled, a federal court cannot ask a Texas court to decide the Texas law question and then report the decision back to the federal court. That is because the Texas Supreme Court has determined that under the Texas Constitution judicial power does not embrace giving advisory opinions. Thus in Texas, as in most states, a state law question can be decided by the state courts only if resolution of the controversy originates in the state courts.

Purpose

C.S.S.J.R. 10 proposes a state constitutional amendment granting the Texas Supreme Court and the Court of Criminal Appeals jurisdiction to answer questions of Texas law certified from federal appellate courts.

Section-by-Section Analysis

SECTION 1. Amends Article V of the Texas Constitution by adding Section 3-c, which grants the Supreme Court and the Court of Criminal Appeals jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from a federal appellate court, and authority to promolugate procedural rules to review such questions.

SECTION 2. Adds a temporary provision to the Texas Constitution. The temporary provision takes effect upon the adoption of the amendment by the voters, and provides that the amendment will take effect on January 1, 1986.

SECTION 3. Provides for submission for the proposed constitutional amendment to the voters on November 5, 1985.

Rulemaking Authority

This bill empowers the Texas Supreme Court and the Court of Criminal Appeals to promulgate rules of procedure relating to questions of state law certified from federal appellate courts.

S.J.R. 10

Judiciary Committee

By: Montford

Page 2

Summary of Committee Action

Public notice was posted in accordance with Rule 4, Section 12 of the Rules of Procedure of the House of Representatives and a public hearing was held on March 19, 1985.

The following witnesses appeared to testify in favor of $S.J.R.\ 10:$

Judge Charles Clark of Jackson, Mississippi, representing the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals; and

Judge Robert M. Campbell of Austin, representing the Supreme Court of Texas.

The Committee voted to refer S.J.R. 10 to the Subcommittee on Court Administration & Procedure for further consideration.

Pursuant to a formal meeting announcement made on the House Floor, the Subcommittee met on April 3, 1985, and voted to adopt a Committee Substitute for S.J.R. 10. The Subcommittee then voted to report the Committee Substitute to the full Committee with the recommendation that it do pass.

On April 9, 1985, the full Committee voted to adopt the Committee Substitute for S.J.R. 10. The Committee then voted to report S.J.R. 10, as substituted, favorably to the House with the recommendation that it do pass by a record vote of 8 ayes, no nays, and 1 absent.

Comparison of Original Bill to Substitute

The Substitute gives the Court of Criminal Appeals jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from federal appellate courts and to promulgate necessary rules.

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE

April 15, 1985

Honorable Bob Bush, Chair Committee on Judiciary House of Representatives Austin, Texas

In Re: House Committee Substitute for Senate Joint Resolution No. 10

Sir:

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Committee Substitute for Senate Joint Resolution No. 10, as engrossed (proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from federal courts) this office has determined the following:

The resolution would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the resolution.

The cost of publication to the State of the resolution is \$48,100.

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Director

Secretary of State; Office of Court Administration; LBB Staff: JO, JH, DS Source:

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE

March 14, 1985

Honorable Bob Bush, Chair Committee on Judiciary House of Representatives Austin, Texas

In Re: Senate Joint Resolution No. 10.

as engrossed By: Montford

Sir:

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on Senate Joint Resolution No. 10, as engrossed (proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from federal courts) this office has determined the following:

The resolution would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the resolution.

The cost of publication to the State of the resolution is \$48,100.

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Jim Oliver Director

Secretary of State; Office of Court Administration; LBB Staff: JO, JH, PA Source:

69FSJR10ae

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE

February 4, 1985

Honorable Oscar H. Mauzy, Chairman Committee on Jurisprudence Senate Chamber Austin, Texas

In Re: Senate Joint Resolution No. 10

By: Montford

Sir:

و ين مخ

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on Senate Joint Resolution No. 10 (proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from federal courts) this office has determined the following:

The resolution would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the resolution.

The cost of publication to the State of the resolution is \$48,100.

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Director

Source: Secretary of State; Office of Court Administration; LBB Staff: JO, JH, BL

ADOPTED

MAY 16 1985

Betty Mussey
Chief Clerk

S.J.R. No. 10

C.S.S.J.R. No. 10

By: Montford

ву: montтora

By: Tooney

Substitute the following for S.J.R. No. 10

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 1 proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court and the 2 court of criminal appeals jurisdiction to answer questions of state law 3 certified from federal appellate courts. 4 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS: 5 SECTION 1. Article V, of the Texas Constitution is amended by 6 7 adding Section 3-c to read as follows: 8 "Section 3-c. (a) The supreme court and the court of criminal appeals have jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from a 9 federal appellate court. 10 "(b) The supreme court and the court of criminal appeals shall 11 promulgate rules of procedure relating to the review of those questions." 12 SECTION 2. The following temporary provision is added to the Texas 13 14 Constitution: 15 "TEMPORARY PROVISION. (a) This temporary provision applies to the constitutional amendment proposed by the 69th Legislature, Regular Session, 16 1985, granting the supreme court and the court of criminal appeals 17 18 jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from a federal appellate court. 19 20 "(b) The constitutional amendment takes effect January 1, 1986. 21 "(c) This temporary provision takes effect on the adoption of the 22 amendment by the voters and expires January 2, 1986." SECTION 3. This proposed constitutional amendment shall be submitted 23 to the voters at an election to be held November 5, 1985. ($cont. \mathcal{H}$) 24

> Deuse Sub. 5/20/85

- 1 The ballot shall be printed to provide for voting for or against the
- 2 proposition: "The constitutional amendment granting the Supreme Court
- of Texas and the Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas jurisdiction to
- 4 answer questions of state law certified from a federal appellate court."

Enrolled May 20 1985

Atey Saw

Examiling Clerk

S.J.R. No. 10

1	SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION
2	proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court and
3	the court of criminal appeals jurisdiction to answer questions of
4	state law certified from federal appellate courts.
5	BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
6	SECTION 1. Article V, of the Texas Constitution is amended
7	by adding Section 3-c to read as follows:
8	"Section 3-c. (a) The supreme court and the court of
9	criminal appeals have jurisdiction to answer questions of state law
10	certified from a federal appellate court.
11	"(b) The supreme court and the court of criminal appeals
12	shall promulgate rules of procedure relating to the review of those
13	questions."
14	SECTION 2. The following temporary provision is added to the
15	Texas Constitution:
16	"TEMPORARY PROVISION. (a) This temporary provision applies
17	to the constitutional amendment proposed by the 69th Legislature,
18	Regular Session, 1985, granting the supreme court and the court of
19	criminal appeals jurisdiction to answer questions of state law
20	certified from a federal appellate court.
21	"(b) The constitutional amendment takes effect January 1,
22	<u>1986.</u>
23	"(c) This temporary provision takes effect on the adoption
24	of the amendment by the voters and expires January 2, 1986."
25	SECTION 3. This proposed constitutional amendment shall be

Company of the second

S.J.R. No. 10

- submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 5, 1985.
- 2 The ballot shall be printed to provide for voting for or against
- 3 the proposition: "The constitutional amendment granting the
- 4 Supreme Court of Texas and the Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas
- 5 jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from a
- 6 federal appellate court."

President of the Senate

Speaker of the House

I hereby certify that S.J.R. No. 10 was adopted by the Senate on February 18, 1985, by the following vote: Yeas 30, Nays 0; and that the Senate concurred in House amendment on May 20, 1985, by the following vote: Yeas 31, Nays 0.

Secretary of the Senate

I hereby certify that S.J.R. No. 10 was adopted by the House, with amendment, on May 16, 1985, by the following vote: Yeas 141, Nays O, one present not voting.

Chief Clerk of the House

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE

April 15, 1985

Honorable Bob Bush, Chair Committee on Judiciary House of Representatives Austin, Texas

In Re: House Committee Substitute for

Senate Joint Resolution No. 10

Sir:

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Committee Substitute for Senate Joint Resolution No. 10, as engrossed (proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from federal courts) this office has determined the following:

The resolution would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the resolution.

The cost of publication to the State of the resolution is \$48,100.

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Jim Oliver Director

Source: Secretary of State; Office of Court Administration;

LBB Staff: JO, JH, DS

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE

March 14, 1985

Honorable Bob Bush, Chair Committee on Judiciary House of Representatives Austin, Texas

In Re: Senate Joint Resolution No. 10,

as engrossed By: Montford

Sir:

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on Senate Joint Resolution No. 10, as engrossed (proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from federal courts) this office has determined the following:

The resolution would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the resolution.

The cost of publication to the State of the resolution is \$48,100.

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Jim Oliver Director

Source: Secretary of State; Office of Court Administration; LBB Staff: JO, JH, PA

يورانه ردديس

and the late of the second and the second

69FSJR10ae

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE

February 4, 1985

Honorable Oscar H. Mauzy, Chairman Committee on Jurisprudence Senate Chamber Austin, Texas

In Re: Senate Joint Resolution No. 10

and the second second second second

By: Montford

Sir:

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on Senate Joint Resolution No. 10 (proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from federal courts) this office has determined the following:

The resolution would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the resolution.

The cost of publication to the State of the resolution is \$48,100.

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Jim Oliver Director

Source: Secretary of State; Office of Court Administration; LBB Staff: JO, JH, BL

Approved:

Date

Governor

President of the Senate	Speaker of the House
I hereby certify that S.J.R.	No. 10 (1) was passed by the
Senate on thuran 18 (2	2), 1985, by the following vote:
Yeas 30 (3), Nays (4) ; and	that the Senate Coxecured in 20,1985, My the Fellowin
Jeuse amendment on May	20, 1985, My the fallowin
Vote: Year 31, Nays O.	
·	Secretary of the Senate
I hereby certify that S.J.R.	No. 10 (1) was passed by the 1985, by the following vote:
House on May 14 (5),	1985, by the following vote:
Yeas $/4/(6)$, Nays $0(7)$, ore	present not voting.
ŕ	
	Chief Clerk of the House

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION

proposing a constitutional amendment granting the supreme court jurisdiction to answer questions of state law certified from federal courts.

1-22-85 Filed with the Secretary of the Senate

JAN 23 1985 Read, referred to Committee on JURISPRUDENCE

Reported favorably.

FFR 6 1985 Reported adversely, with favorable Committee Substitute; Committee Substitute read first time.

Ordered not printed. rEB 18

Senate and Constitutional Rules to permit consideration suspended by

ananimous consent.

To permit consideration, reading and passage, Senate and Constitutional Rules suspended by vote of _____yeas, ____nays.

FEB 18 1985 Read second time and ordered engrossed.

Caption ordered amended to conform to body of bill.

FEB 18 1995 Senate and Constitutional 3-Day Rules suspended by vote of

29 yeas, ____ nays to place bill on third reading and final passage.

Read third time and passed by \[\frac{a viva-voce vote.}{30 \text{yeas}, \quad o \text{nays}.} \]

OTHER ACTION:

FEB 27 1989 Received from Senate FEB 27 1989 Read, referred to committee on Judicien

4-9-85 as a substitute, no amended

APR 16 1985 Printed & Distributed 12:310m

Sent to Committee on Calendar

Le Second Time and finally record vote of 141 years Orner

MAY 16 1985 Unanimous consent granted to smend caption of Senate Bill No. IR LO to conform to body of bill.

RETURNED TO SENATE

Senate concurred in House amend-MAY 20 1985 ments by the following vote: 31

3 150 18 M 15 -Japan ... - Algente